

## CAWP County Report Card 2006 percentage of women

	Mayors			Freeholders			
	E	S	U	E	S	U	
Atlantic			13%			22%	Making progress; seems to be paying more attention to electing women
Bergen			10%	57%			Gold star for freeholders; needs to apply same lesson to mayors
Burlington			13%			20%	Modest improvement on mayors; overall disappointing performance
Camden			24%		29%		Barely passing on freeholders; needs to apply more effort overall
Cape May			19%			0%	Some progress on mayors; still flunking on freeholders
Cumberland			7%		29%		Uneven performance; minimal progress on mayors, backsliding on freeholders
Essex			9%		44%		Room to improve on mayors; maintaining strong performance on freeholders
Gloucester			21%			14%	Lost ground on mayors; must work harder
Hudson			0%			11%	Minor improvement on freeholders; lacks commitment to electing women
Hunterdon			15%		40%		Consistently solid performance on freeholders; regaining some lost ground on mayors
Mercer		31%			43%		Keep up the good work
Middlesex			12%		29%		Seems stuck; needs more effort especially on mayors
Monmouth			23%		33%		Good job on freeholders; needs continued improvement on mayors
Morris			10%		29%		Not working up to potential; no excuses for poor performance
Ocean			9%			0%	Consistently failing to meet expectations; still at the bottom of the class
Passaic			6%		43%		Strong freeholder performance indicates potential to do better on mayors
Salem			0%		29%		Still requires remedial work on mayors
Somerset		33%				20%	Head of the class for mayors; appears to lack motivation to improve on freeholders
Sussex		29%				20%	Mixed performance. Needs to apply a sustained effort on freeholders
Union			19%		33%		Encouraging progress on freeholders; needs to put more energy at municipal level
Warren			9%			0%	Bottom of the alphabet, bottom of the class

Rated on progress toward fair representation: (E) Excellent =50% or above, (S) Satisfactory=49.9% to 27.4%, (U) Unsatisfactory=27.3%

© Copyright 2006. Center for American Women and Politics(CAWP), Eagleton Institute of Politics, Rutgers University

## Mayor/Freeholder Percentage of Women by County

2004 - 2006

### Mayors

### Freeholders

	2002	2004	2006	04-'06 Change		2002	2004	2006	'04-'06 Change
Atlantic	4.3%	0.0%	13.3%	13.3%	Atlantic	0.0%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%
Bergen	14.3%	8.6%	10.0%	1.4%	Bergen	14.3%	42.9%	57.1%	14.2%
Burlington	7.5%	5.0%	12.5%	7.5%	Burlington	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	-20.0%
Camden	18.9%	24.3%	24.3%	no change	Camden	57.1%	28.6%	28.6%	no change
Cape May	6.3%	12.5%	18.8%	6.3%	Cape May	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	no change
Cumberland	7.1%	0.0%	7.1%	7.1%	Cumberland	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	-14.3%
Essex	9.1%	4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	Essex	33.3%	44.4%	44.4%	no change
Gloucester	16.7%	29.2%	20.8%	-8.3%	Gloucester	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	no change
Hudson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	no change	Hudson	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%
Hunterdon	26.9%	11.5%	15.4%	3.8%	Hunterdon	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	no change
Mercer	30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	7.7%	Mercer	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	no change
Middlesex	16.0%	12.0%	12.0%	no change	Middlesex	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	no change
Monmouth	11.3%	18.9%	22.6%	3.8%	Monmouth	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	no change
Morris	15.4%	12.8%	10.3%	-2.6%	Morris	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	no change
Ocean	9.1%	6.1%	9.1%	3.0%	Ocean	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	no change
Passaic	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%	no change	Passaic	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	no change
Salem	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	no change	Salem	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	no change
Somerset	28.6%	23.8%	33.3%	9.5%	Somerset	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	no change
Sussex	8.3%	20.8%	29.2%	8.3%	Sussex	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	-20.0%
Union	9.5%	19.0%	19.0%	no change	Union	22.2%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%
Warren	22.7%	18.2%	9.1%	-9.1%	Warren	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	no change
<b>Total</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>

red indicates negative change

black indicates positive change