

CAWP County Report Card 2004

	Mayors			Freeholders			
	E	S	U	E	S	U	
Atlantic						✓	Seeing minimal improvement in freeholders; disappointing decline in mayors
Bergen			✓		✓		Great improvement in freeholders; continues to need remedial work on mayors
Burlington			✓		✓		Maintaining high freeholder level; having difficulty retaining mayors
Camden			✓		✓		Slipped off the honor roll
Cape May			✓			✓	Showing minimal effort
Cumberland			✓		✓		Encouraging progress at freeholder level; needs to put more energy into electing mayors
Essex			✓		✓		Pleased with freeholders; time to focus on mayors
Gloucester		✓				✓	Head of class in electing mayors; making no progress in electing freeholders
Hudson			✓			✓	Just doesn't get it!
Hunterdon			✓		✓		Not meeting potential at mayoral level
Mercer			✓		✓		Consistent on freeholders; disappointing drop in mayors
Middlesex			✓		✓		Appears to lack motivation to improve
Monmouth			✓			✓	A long way to go...but moving in the <i>right</i> direction
Morris			✓		✓		Not meeting expectations
Ocean			✓			✓	Fails to follow through in electing women
Passaic			✓		✓		Merits recognition on freeholders; needs much improvement on mayors
Salem			✓		✓		Needs to stay after school to work on mayors
Somerset			✓			✓	Fails to achieve potential
Sussex			✓		✓		Appears to be working hard at task
Union			✓			✓	Performing better at mayoral level
Warren			✓			✓	Heading for detention

Rated on progress toward fair representation: Excellent =50% or above, Satisfactory=49.9% to 27.4%, Unsatisfactory=27.3%

© Copyright 2004. Center for American Women and Politics(CAWP)